

Planning Commission Actions

September 22, 2015

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, that the Capital Needs Assessment (CNA) be updated to reflect all the capital facility expansion needs associated with the recently adopted Comprehensive Plan. Once updated consider how these facilities might be addressed by either the current cash proffer action or in a subsequent cash proffer action.

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, since the credits involve achieving the goals of the Comprehensive Plan, that the Planning Commission be asked by the Board to consider the various recommendations of the Fiscal Impact Advisory Committee related to credits and report back.

October 6, 2015

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, to take a comparative look as to where 6-8 other comparable communities are with their cash proffer amounts and how they complied with the 2013 legislation.

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, that the BOS task FIAC with evaluating the existing CRIM model against other dynamic models. This should be a long term and ongoing assignment to the Fiscal Impact Advisory Committee.

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, that the Capital Needs Assessment (CNA) be updated to reflect all the capital facility expansion needs associated with the recently adopted Comprehensive Plan. Once updated consider how these facilities might be addressed by either the current cash proffer action or in a subsequent cash proffer action.

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, since the credits involve achieving the goals of the Comprehensive Plan, that the Planning Commission be asked by the Board to consider the various recommendations of the Fiscal Impact Advisory Committee related to credits and report back.

RECOMMEND, by a vote of 7:0, to have the county's rules spelled out (and communicated very clearly and be publicized) about whether a previously approved project at a much higher proffer could come back, or not, and apply for a rezoning to take advantage of the lesser proffer. (See Minutes for details)